Formal analysis of HTM Spatial Pooler performance under predefined operation conditions

Marcin Pietroń, Maciej Wielgosz, Kazimierz Wiatr
AGH University of Science and Technology
al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland
Email: pietron,wielgosz,wiatr@agh.edu.pl

arXiv:1607.00791v1 [cs.AI] 4 Jul 2016

***Abstract*—This paper introduces mathematical formalism for Spatial (SP) of Hierarchical Temporal Memory (HTM) with a spacial consideration for its hardware implementation. Performance of HTM network and its ability to learn and adjust to a problem at hand is governed by a large set of parameters. Most of parameters are codependent which makes creating efficient HTM-based solutions challenging. It requires profound knowledge of the settings and their impact on the performance of system. Consequently, this paper introduced a set of formulas which are to facilitate the design process by enhancing tedious trial-and-error method with a tool for choosing initial parameters which enable quick learning convergence. This is especially important in hardware implementations which are constrained by the limited resources of a platform.**

The authors focused especially on a formalism of Spatial Pooler and derive at the formulas for quality and convergence of the model. This may be considered as recipes for designing efficient HTM models for given input patterns.

I. Introduction

Recent years witnessed huge progress in deep learning architecture driven mostly by abundance of training data and huge performance of parallel GPU processing units [3][2]. This sets a new path in a development of intelligent systems and ultimately puts us on a track to general artificial intelligence solutions. It is worth noting that in addition to well-established Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) architectures there is a set of biologically inspired solutions such as Hierarchical Temporal Memories [11][10][6]. Those architectures as well as CNNs suffer from lack of well-defined mathematical formulation of rules for their efficient hardware implementation. Large range of heuristics and rules of thumb are used instead. This was not very harmful except for a long training time when most of the algorithm were executed on CPUs without hardware acceleration. However, nowadays most of biologically inspired are ported to hardware for a sake of performance efficiency [4][5]. This in turn requires a profound consideration and analysis of resources consumption to be able to predict both the capacity of the platform and the ultimate performance of the system. Consequently, the authors of the papers analyzed HTM design constrains on the mathematical ground and formulated a set of directives for building hardware modules.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II provides the background and related works. Section III describes mathematical formalism of Spatial Pooler. Finally, we present our conclusions in Section IV.

II. HTM architecture

Hierarchical Temporal Memory (HTM) replicates the structural and algorithmic properties of the neocortex [1]. It can be regarded as a memory system which is not programmed and it is trained through exposing them to data i.e. text. HTM is organized in the hierarchy which reflects the nature of the world and performs modeling by updating the hierarchy. The structure is hierarchical in both space and time, which is the key in natural language modeling since words and sentences come in sequences which describe cause and effect relationships between the latent objects. HTMs may be considered similar to Bayesian Networks, HMM and Recurrent Neural Networks, but they are different in the way hierarchy, model of neuron and time is organized.

At any moment in time, based on current and past input, an HTM will assign a likelihood that given concepts are present in the examined stream. The HTM's output constitutes a set of probabilities for each of the learned causes. This moment-to-moment distribution of possible concepts (causes) is denoted as a belief. If the HTM covers a certain number of concepts it will have the same number of variables representing those concepts. Typically HTMs learn about many causes and create a structure of them which reflects their relationships.

Even for human beings, discovering causes is considered to be a core of perception and creativity, and people through course of their life learn how to find causes underlying objects in the world. In this sense HTMs mimic human cognitive abilities and with a long enough training, proper design and implementation, they should be able to discover causes humans can find difficult or are unable to detect.

HTM infers concepts of new stream elements and the result is a distribution of beliefs across all the learned causes. If the concept (e.g. one of the categories occurring in the examined stream) is unambiguous, the belief distribution will be peaked otherwise it will be flat. In HTMs it is possible to disable learning after training and still do inference.

A. Encoder

The role of an encoder within HTM processing flow is critical. It maps data from various representations to Sparse

Distributed Representation (SDR) which is an internal form of holding data within HTM network. Quality of a transformation process directly affect the performance of the implemented system. The conversion involves mapping to strongly semantic-oriented way of representing data in which a single bit holds a meaning of tens or hundreds of original representation bits. There are various kinds of encoders for different input data [12].

B. Spatial Pooler

SP operates in the spatial domain and acts as an advanced encoder which translates from binary representation to the sparse distributed binary representation of approx. 3% density. SP is also an interface between the encoder and the remaining part of the HTM module Fig. 1.

The detailed implementation of the algorithm is as follows:

- Each column is connected by a fixed number of inputs to randomly selected node inputs. Based on the input pattern, some columns will receive more active input values,
- Inputs of columns (synapses) have values (floating point between 0 and 1 called permanence value) which represents possibility of activating the synapse (if the value is greater than 0.5 and corresponding input is 1 the synapse is active),
- Columns which have more active connected synapses than given threshold (minOverlap) and its overlap parameter (number of active synapses) is better than k-th overlap of set of columns in spectrum of inhibition radius,
- During learning process columns gather information about their history of activity, overlap values (if overlap was greater than minOverlap or not), compute minimum and maximum overlap duty cycle and then decides according to the combinations of these parameters if their permanence values or inhibition radius should be changed.

There are two main parameters of Spatial Pooler which mimic the behaviour of the mammalian brain, i.e. permValue and inhibitionRadius. The first one reflects the sensitivity of the brain to external stimuli, and the latter one may be considered a focus. In the process of adjusting those parameters, SP adapts to the profile of the input data.

Generally, spatial pooling selects a relatively constant number of the most active columns and inactivates (inhibits) other columns in the vicinity of the active ones. Similar input patterns tend to activate a stable set of columns. The classifier module based on Spatial Pooler is realized by overlap and activation computing on incoming input values. The rest of the parameters are set during learning process. The functionality of Spatial Pooler is similar to LVQ or Self Organizing Maps neural models.

III. Mathematical formalism

A. Spatial Distributed Representation

This section covers properties and important features of SDR (Sparse Distributed Representation) vector space.

Algorithm 1 Overlap

```
for all col ∈ sp.columns do
  col.overlap ← 0
  for all syn ∈ col.connected_synapses() do
    col.overlap ← col.overlap + syn.active()
  end for
  if col.overlap < min_overlap then
    col.overlap ← 0;
  else
    col.overlap ← col.overlap * col.boost;
  end if
end for
```

Algorithm 2 Inhibition

```
for all col ∈ sp.columns do
  max_column ← max(n_max_overlap(col, n), 1)
  if col.overlap > max_column then
    col.active ← 1;
  else
    col.active ← 0;
  end if
end for
```

Algorithm 3 Learning : adapting perm values

```
for all col ∈ sp.columns do
  max_column ← max(n_max_overlap(col, n), 1)
  if col.active then
    for all synapses ∈ col.synapses do
      if syn.active() then
        syn.perm_value ← min(1, syn.perm_value +
        syn.perm_inc);
      else
        syn.perm_value ← max(0, syn.perm_value -
        syn.perm_dec);
      end if
    end for
  end if
end for
```

Algorithm 4 Learning : Column boosting operation

```
for all col ∈ sp.columns do
  col.mdc ← 0.01 * max_adc(range_neighbor(col))
  col.update_active_duty_cycle()
  col.update_boost()
  col.update_overlap_duty_cycle()
  if col.odc < col.mdc then
    for all synapses ∈ col.synapses do
      syn.perm_value ← min(1, syn.perm_value + 0.1 *
      min_perm_value);
    end for
  end if
end for
sp.update_inhibition_radius()
```



Fig. 1. Architecture of HTM Spatial Pooler

B. Space definition

$$S : \{0,1\}^n \tag{1}$$

where S and n are SDR vector space and its dimension respectively.

Depending on the context, the vectors are called points, patterns or words.

C. Notation

This section covers HTM encoder formalism. It is mostly based on [12].

- A - an arbitrary input set,
- a - element of the input space A,
- $S(n,k)$ - SDR (Sparse Distributed Representation) of length n and w bits on (egual 1),
- s - element of SDR space S,
- n - total number of bits in a vector of SDR (s),
- w - number of active bits in a vector of SDR (s),
- $buckets$ - number of buckets (ranges) to which a_i is mapped S,
- val_{min} - min value of the input space range,
- val_{max} - max value of the input space range.

D. Preserving semantics

Both input space and SDR space are decent metric spaces which means that they meet metric space postulates [**?**].

- (A, d_A) - input space
- d_A - input space metric
- (S, d_S) - SDR space
- d_S - SDR space metric

1) Input space:

$$d_A : A \times A \to \mathbb{R} \tag{2}$$

$$\bigwedge_{a_i,a_j \in A} d_A(a_i, a_j) \geqslant 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\bigwedge_{a_i,a_j \in A} d_A(a_i, a_j) = 0 \iff a_i = a_j \tag{4}$$

$$\bigwedge_{a_i,a_j \in A} d_A(a_i, a_j) = d_A(a_j, a_i) \tag{5}$$

$$\bigwedge_{a_i,a_j,a_k \in A} d_A(a_i, a_k) \leqslant d_A(a_i, a_j) + d_A(a_j, a_k) \tag{6}$$

2) SDR space:

$$d_S : S \times S \to \mathbb{N} \tag{7}$$

$$\bigwedge_{s_i,s_j \in S} d_S(s_i, s_j) \geqslant 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\bigwedge_{s_i,s_j \in S} d_S(s_i, s_j) = 0 \iff s_i = s_j \tag{9}$$

$$\bigwedge_{s_i,s_j \in S} d_S(s_i, s_j) = d_S(s_j, s_i) \tag{10}$$

$$\bigwedge_{s_i s_j,s_k \in S} d_S(s_i, s_k) \leqslant d_S(s_i, s_j) + d_S(s_j, s_k) \tag{11}$$

E. Relationship between Input and SDR spaces

Input and SDR spaces are correlated (Eq.12).

$$\bigwedge_{a_i,a_j,a_k,a_w \in A} d_S(f(a_i), f(a_j)) \geqslant d_s(f(a_k), f(a_w)) \iff d_A(a_i, a_j) \leqslant d_A(a_k, a_w) \tag{12}$$

F. Basic assumptions

There are several important aspects which must be considered in a process of encoding data:

- $\bigwedge_{a_i,a_j \in A \wedge s_i,s_j \in S : s_i = f(a_i), s_j = f(a_j)} : a_i \approx a_j \Rightarrow s_i \approx s_j$,
- $\bigwedge_{a_i,a_j \in A \wedge s_i,s_j \in S : s_i = f(a_i), s_j = f(a_j)} : a_i = a_j \Rightarrow s_i = s_j$,
- $\bigwedge_{s_i,s_j \in S} n_i = n_j = n$
- $\bigwedge_{s_i,s_j \in S} w_i \approx w_j \approx w$

G. Encoder formalism

The following notation prerequisites are adopted:

$$s \in \{0,1\}^n \tag{13}$$

The number of buckets is given by Eq. 14.

$$buckets = n - w + 1 \tag{14}$$

The function mapping from the input space to SDR is expressed by Eq. 15.

$$f : A \longrightarrow S(n,k) \tag{15}$$

Input space is limited by the range is given by Eq. 16.

$$\bigwedge_{a \in A} a \in \langle val_{min}, val_{max} \rangle \tag{16}$$

Formula for a simple numbers encoder is given by Eq. 17 and 18.

$$\bigwedge_{a \in A} \bigvee_{s \in S, k \in \langle 0,n \rangle} : k = \lfloor \frac{buckets \cdot (a - val_{min})}{val_{max} - val_{max}} \rfloor \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{cases} \bigwedge_{i \in \langle 0,k \rangle \cup (k+w,n \rangle}, & s[i] = 0 \\ \bigwedge_{i \in \langle 0,k+w \rangle}, & s[i] = 1 \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

H. Spatial Pooler

This section will concentrate on mathematical formalism of Spatial Pooler. The functionality of spatial pooler can be described in a vector and matrix representation, this format of data can improve the efficiency of the operations. The previous section covered encoder formalism definition which is critical since input data for the Spatial Pooler are generated at this stage. The quality of the data directly affects performance of SP. In article vectors are defined by lowercase names with an arrow hat (the transpose of the vector will produce a column vector). All matrices will be uppercase. Subscripts on vectors and matrices are presented as right bottom indexes to denote internal elements (e.g. $X_{i,j}$ refers to element in i row and j column). Element wise operations are defined by $\odot$ and $\oplus$ operators. The I(k) function is indicator function that returns 1 if event k given as a parameter is true and 0 otherwise. The input of this function can be matrix or vector, then the output is matrix or vector, respectively.

TABLE I
SP SYMBOLS.

Symbol	Meaning
n	Number of patterns
p	Number of inputs (features) in a pattern
m	Number of columns
q	Number of proximal synapses per column
ϕ_+	Permanence increment amount
ϕ_-	Permanence decrement amount
ϕ_σ	Window of permanence initialization
ρ_s	Proximal synapse activation treshold
ρ_d	Proximal dendrite segment activation treshold
ρ_c	Desired column activity level
s_{duty}	Minimum activity level scaling factor
s_{boost}	Permanence boosting scaling factor
β_0	Maximum boost
τ	Duty cycle period

The user-defined input parameters are defined in (Table I). These are parameters that must be defined before the initialization of the algorithm.

The terms s, r, i, j and k are integer indices used in article. Theirs vaues are bounded as follows: $s \in [0,n), r \in [0,p), i \in [0,m), j \in [0,m), k \in [0,q)$.

I. Initialization

Competitive learning networks have typically each node fully connected to each input. The other architectures and techniques like self organizing maps, stochastic gradient networks have single input connected to single node. In Spatial Pooler the inputs connecting to a particular column are determined randomly. The density of inputs visible by Spatial Pooler can be computed by using input parameters which defines SP architecture. These rules and dependencies formulas will be described in this section. Let $\vec{c} \in Z^{1 \times m}$ be the vector of columns indices. The $\vec{c}_i$ where $i \in [0,m)$ is the column's index at i. Let $I \in \{0,1\}^{n \times p}$ be the set of input patterns, such that $I_{s,r}$ indicates s index of r pattern.
Let column synapse index $CSI \in r^{m \times q}$ be the source column indices for each proximal synapse on each column, such that $CSI_{i,k}$ is the source column's $\vec{c}_i$ index of proximal synapse at index k ($CSI_{i,k}$ refers to specific index in I_s).
For hardware implementation purpose and its memory limitations the modifications to formal description were done. The following changes parameters were defined:

- $ccon_i$ - central index of input to which column i is assign
- radius - spectrum from $ccon_i$ in which the indexes of synapses' inputs are randomly selected
- $CSI_{i,k} \in \{ccon_i \pm radius\}^{m \times q}$

Given q and p, the probability of a single input $I_{s,r}$, connecting to a column is calculated by using 19. In 19, the probability of an input not connecting is first determined. That probability is independent for each input. The total probability of a connection not being formed is simply the product of those probabilities. The probability of a connection

forming is therefore the complement of the probability of a connection not forming.

$$P(i_r c_i) = 1 - \prod_{k=0}^{q}(1 - \frac{1}{p-k}) = \frac{q+1}{p} \tag{19}$$

The number of columns that are connected to single input (20):

$$ncol_r = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} I(CSI_{i,k} == r) \tag{20}$$

Expected number of columns to which given input is connected (21):

$$E[ncol_r] = m * P(i_r c_i) \tag{21}$$

The version of above equations for hardware implementation are as follows (equations 22, 23 and 24):

$$P(i_r c_i) = \begin{cases} 1 - \prod_{k=0}^{q}(1 - \frac{1}{2*radius-k}) & \text{if} r \in \\ [ccon_i - radius, ccon_i + radius] & \\ 0 & \text{if} r \in \\ [0, ccon_i - radius] \cup [ccon_i + radius, p] & \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

$$ncol_r = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} I(CSI_{i,k} == r) \tag{23}$$

$$E[ncol_r] = m * P(i_r c_i) \tag{24}$$

It is also possible to calculate the probability of an input never connecting 25.

$$P(ncol_r = 0) = (1 - P(i_r c_i)^m \tag{25}$$

The probabilities of connecting or not connecting input to different columns are independent, it reduces to the product of the probability of a input not connecting to a column, taken over all columns (26 and 27).

$$nccol = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} I(ncol_r == 0) \tag{26}$$

$$E[nccol] = p * P(ncol_r == 0) \tag{27}$$

Using equation 19 and equation 25, it is possible to obtain a lower bound for input parameters m and q, by choosing those parameters such way that a certain percentage of input visibility is obtained. To guarantee observance of all inputs, equation 26 must be zero. The desired number of times an input is observed may be determined by using equation eq:enc.

After connecting columns to input, the permanences of synapses must be initialized. Permanences were defined to be initialized with a random value close to ρ_s. Permanences should be randomly initialized, with approximately half of the permanences creating connected proximal synapses and the remaining permanences creating potential (unconnected) proximal synapses.

Let $\phi \in R^{m \times q}$ be defined as the set of permanences for each column, ϕ_i is set of permanences for proximal synapses for column $\vec{c_i}$. $\phi_{i,k}$ is initialized by formula 28. Expected permanence value is equal to ρ_s. Therefore $\frac{q}{2}$ synapses will be connected. The parameter ρ_d should be less to give chance each column to be activated at the beginning of learning process.

$$\phi_{i,k} \sim uniform(\rho_s - \phi_\delta, \rho_s + \phi_\delta) \tag{28}$$

As initial parameters are set the activation process can be described by mathematical formulas. Let $X \in \{0,1\}^{m \times q}$ is the set of inputs for each column, X_i set of inputs for column $\vec{c_i}$. Let $ac_i = \sum_k^{q-1} X_{i,k}$ be the random variable of number of active inputs on column i. The average number of active inputs on a column is defined by: $ac = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} X_{i,k}$. The $P(X_{i,k})$ is defined as the probability of the input connected to column i via proximal synapses. Therefore expected number of active proximal synapses can be computed as follows 29:

$$E[\vec{ac_i}] = q * P(X_i) \tag{29}$$

Let $ActCon_{i,k} = X_{i,k} \cap I(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho_s)$ defines the event that proximal synapse k is active and connected to column i. Random variable of number of active and connected synapses for column i is define by 30:

$$\vec{actcon_i} = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} ActCon_{i,k} \tag{30}$$

The probability that synapse is active and connected: $P(ActCon_i) = P(X_{i,k}) * \frac{1}{2}$. Expected number of active and connected synapses for single column is defined as 31:

$$E[\vec{actcon_i}] = q * P(ActCon_i) \tag{31}$$

$Bin(k, n, p)$ is the probability mass function of a binomial distribution (k number of successes, n number of trials, p success probability in each trial). Number of columns with more active inputs than threshold 32:

$$acts = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} I(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} X_{i,k} > \rho_d) \tag{32}$$

Number of columns with more active and connected proximal synapses than threshold 33:

$$actcol = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} I(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} ActCon_{i,k} > \rho_d) \tag{33}$$

Let π_x be the mean of P(x) and π_{ac} the mean of $P(ActCon)$ then by 35 and 34, the summation computes the probability of having less than ρ_d active connected and active proximal synapses, where the individual probabilities within the summation follow the PMF of a binomial distribution.

To obtain the desired probability, the complement of that probability is taken.

$$E[acts] = m * [1 - \sum_{t=0}^{\rho_d - 1} Bin(t, q, \pi_x)] \tag{34}$$

$$P[ActCol] = [1 - \sum_{t=0}^{\rho_d - 1} Bin(t, q, \pi_{ac})] \tag{35}$$

$$E[actcol] = m * [1 - \sum_{t=0}^{\rho_d - 1} Bin(t, q, \pi_{ac})] \tag{36}$$

J. Overlap

Let $ConSyn \in \{0,1\}^{m \times q}$ and $ConSyn_i \in \{0,1\}^{1 \times q}$ be the bit mask for proximal synapses connectivity where $ConSyn_{i,k}$ (equation 37).

$$ConSyn_{i,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_{i,k} \geq \rho_s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Let $\vec{b} \in R^{1 \times m}$ be the vector of boost values for each column (b_i is the boost value for ith column). The equation 38 computes $ovlp_i$, which is the number of synapses in connected state whose input is activated (line 4 in II-B):

$$\vec{ovlp}_i = \vec{X}_i \times \vec{ConSyn}_i \tag{38}$$

Then real overlap $\vec{r}_ovlp$ value based on boost factor can be computed for each column (equation 39). The value r_ovlp_i is greater then zero if $ovlp_i \geq 0$ is (line 6-9 in II-B).

$$\vec{r_ovlp}_i = \begin{cases} \vec{ovlp}_i \cdot b_i & \text{if } ovlp_i \geq \rho_d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

K. Inhibition

After computing overlap values for each column, the process of activating them is based on set of neighbours of the specified column (defined by inhibition radius). Therefore neighborhood mask matrix is performed as $N \in \{0,1\}^{m \times m}$, where N_i is the neighborhood of the ith column. Each element in a matrix is indicator of event that column belongs to neighborhood or not (1 or 0 value). In case of further optimizations the matrix can be reduced to $N \in \{0,1\}^{m \times inhibition_radius}$. Let $kmax(S,k)$ be the k-th largest value from set S and $max(\vec{v})$ be the largest value from vector $\vec{v}$. The set of active columns can be represented by vector $\vec{r_actCol} \in \{0,1\}^{1 \times m}$. The vector can be obtained by computing following formula (line 2 in II-B, equation 40):

$$\vec{\gamma} = max * (kmax(N_i \odot r_ovlp, \rho_c)) \forall i \tag{40}$$

then (line 3-7 in II-B, equation 41):

$$\vec{r_actCol} = I(r_ovlp_i \geq \gamma_i) \forall i \tag{41}$$

L. Learning

Learning phase consists of updating permanence values, inhibition radius and boosting factors updating and duty cycle parameters coputing. The permanence values of synapses are updated only when column is activated. Therefore update of synapse can be defined as element wise multiplication of transposed vector of column activations and matrix of values of inputs connected to columns synapses. If inputs are active then permanences are increased by value θ_+ otherwise decreased by θ_- (line 6 and 8 in II-B, equations 42 and 43).

$$\delta\phi = r_actCol^T \odot (\theta_+ X - (\theta_- \neg X)) \tag{42}$$

$$\delta\phi_{i,k} = r_actCol_i^T \odot (\theta_+ X_{CSI_{i,k}} - (\theta_- \neg X_{CSI_{i,k}})) \tag{43}$$

The permanence values must been in [0,1] range. The following equation is a rule of updating final permanence values (line 6 and 8 in II-B, equation 44):

$$\phi = clip(\phi \oplus \delta\phi, 0, 1) \tag{44}$$

The clip function clips the permanence values in [0,1] range (45).

$$clip(k, l, u) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } k \geq u \\ l & \text{if } \leq l \\ k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

Each column in learning phase updates activeDutyCycle parameter - $\vec{\mu}_i^a$. The set of these paramters is represented by vector $\vec{\mu}^a$. It is worth noticed that history of activation of the columns activation should be stored in a additional structure to remember and update duty cycle parameter in each cycle - $ActDCHist = \{0,1\}^{m \times history}$, (only set number of steps before should be remember, history parameter is sliding window width). The activeDutyCycle is computed as follows (equation 46):

$$\vec{\mu}_i^a = \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} ActDCHist_{i,k} \tag{46}$$

The procedure of $update_active_duty_cycle$ in each cycle can be done by organizing above matrice as cycle list. In each cycle the whole single column is updated. Then the index to the column which will be update in next cycle is incremented by one. If the index will be greater then matrice width the index is set to 0. The minimum active duty cycle $\vec{\mu}^{min}$ is computed for boosting purposes by the following equation (47):

$$\vec{\mu}^{min} = s_{duty} * max(H_i \odot \vec{\mu}^a) \forall i \tag{47}$$

The maximal active duty cycle of columns in neighbourhood is scaled by s_{duty} factor.
The boost factor computation is base on μ^a, μ^{min} parameters. The boost function should be used when $\mu^a \leq \mu^{min}$. It should be monotonically decreasing due to μ^a (equations 48 and 49).

$$b = \beta(\mu^a, \mu^{min}) \forall i \tag{48}$$

$$\beta(\mu^a, \mu^{min}) = \begin{cases} \beta_0 & \text{for } \mu^{min} = 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } \mu^a > \mu^{min} \\ \text{boost function otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

The next parameter $\vec{\mu}^o$ is $overlapDutyCycle$. It is computed by the same manner like $activeDutyCycle$. Apart from activation indicators the overlap are used. The similar matrice of overlap history is used - $OvlpDCHist$. The permanence boosting definition is based on comparing $\vec{\mu}^o$ < $\vec{\mu}^{min}$. If it is true than all input synapses permanence are increased by constant factor (line 8 in II-B, equation 50).

$$\phi = clip(\phi \oplus s_{pboost} * I(\vec{\mu}^o < \vec{\mu}^{min}), 0, 1) \tag{50}$$

The original inhibition radius presented by Numenta is based on distances between columns and active connected synapses (equation 51). Equation 54 presents how inhibition is computed (sum of distances divided by sum of connected synapses). The inhibition radius can be constant during learning phase or can be changed. It depends of SP mode. Both modes what will be described later should converge to the stable value of inhibition radius or to value with minimal variance.

$$D = d(pos(c_i, 0), pos(CSI_{i,k})) \odot ConSyn_i \forall i \forall k \tag{51}$$

$$inhibition_i = max(1, \lfloor \frac{\sum_i^m \sum_k^q D_{i,k}}{max(1, \sum_i^m \sum_k^q ConSyn_{i,k})} \rfloor) \tag{52}$$

It should be noticed that the spectrum of inhbition radius in case of hardware implementation can be shifted or decreased in some situations. In GPU when columns are processed by thread blocks, boundary threads compare theirs $overlap$ and $activeDutyCycle$ in spectrum of reduced inhibition radius to avoid device memory synchronization [13]. During initialization process the mean distance and inhibition is defined by equations 53 and 54:

$$mean_dist(c_i) = \frac{\frac{end-pos}{input_size} * q * av_{left} + \frac{pos-start}{input_size} * q * av_{right}}{q} \tag{53}$$

$$inhibition = \frac{\sum mean_i}{\frac{1}{2} * q} \tag{54}$$

The initial probability of column activation based on inhibition radius is defined by equation 55.

$$P(r_ActCol) = \frac{k}{inhibition} * P(ActCol) \tag{55}$$

The probability of boosting at initial stage can be computed by equation 56.

$$P(boost) = \frac{inhibition - k}{inhibition} * P(ActCol) \tag{56}$$

M. Quality of SP

The Spatial Pooler output representation is in sparse format so number of active columns is $k << n$ (equations 57 and 58).

$$|\sum I(ActCol == 1)| = k \tag{57}$$

$$max(k) \simeq 4 - 5\%(minoverlap == 1 \text{ if sparse input}) \tag{58}$$

As SP pattern is learnt we can estimate what input give the same output and its probability. The probability is equals to product of probabilities that for active columns for given pattern the overlap is greater or equal to $minoverlap$ (equation 59).

$$\prod_{i=0}^{N-k} P(ovlp_i < minovlp) * \prod_{i=0}^{k} P(ovlp_i \geq minovlp) \tag{59}$$

The single probability can be computed by following equation

(60):

$$P(ovlp_i \geq minovlp) = \prod_{k=0}^{q} P(X_{CSI_{i,k}->(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s)} == 1) \tag{60}$$

The number of unique patterns that can be represented on n bits with w bits on is defined by (equation 61):

$$\binom{n}{w} = \frac{p!}{w!(p-w)!} \tag{61}$$

Then we can define the number of codings that can give similar output as learnt pattern by SP (equation 62 is a number of input codings for actie columns and 63 is number of input codings for non active columns).

$$\prod_{i=0}^{act} (2^{(q-\sum I(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s))} * \binom{\sum I(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s)}{minoverlap} \tag{62}$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{N-act} (2^{(q-\sum I(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s))} * \sum_{g=0}^{minoverlap-1} \binom{\sum I(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s)}{g-1} \tag{63}$$

The $2^{(q-\sum I(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s))}$ is the number of codings on input to synapses that are learnt zero bit pattern ($\phi_{i,k} < \rho s$). The $\binom{\sum I(\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s)}{minoverlap}$ is number of codings that input has more bits on than minoverlap on synapses learnt for receiving bits with value 1 ($\phi_{i,k} \geq \rho s$).

N. Convergence of SP

In this section the convergence of SP learning process will be described. We divided the process of learning SP to two different modes. The first one consists of learning each pattern seperately. In this case for each column c_i the final state of SP after learning process should be as follows (for $t \to \infty$):

- $\sum_0^q X_{i,k} > minovlp$
  $\phi_{i,k} \to 1.0$ for $X_{i,k} == 1$
  $\phi_{i,k} \to 0.0$ for $X_{i,k} == 0$
- $\sum_0^q X_{i,k} < minovlp, \ \ \phi_{i,k} \to 1.0$

There are three possible starting states at the beginning of learning, the possible transisions from state to other state are as follows (indicated by $\to$):

- not overlap $\to$ ($t \to \infty$) permanence boosting $\to$ (overlap $\geq$ minoverlap) if $\sum_{k=0}^{q} X_{i,k} \geq \rho_s$
- overlap $\geq$ minOverlap & no activation $\to$ overlap boosting (activeDutyCycle value) $\to$ activation $\to$ permanence updating
- overlap $\geq$ minOverlap & activation $\to$ permanence updating

It can be noticed that if there are more columns than k parameter with overlap greater or equal than $minoverlap$ value in spectrum of constant inhibition radius than columns are in priority queue (priority is activeDutyCycle) in which they are will be activated in cyclic way (because of overlap boosting).
Process of single pattern learning can be run further for next pattern. Before this process learnt columns (columns activated by learnt pattern) should be blocked from permanence boosting (avoid boosting of learnt synapses). The columns activated (learnt) by previous pattern can ba activated by new pattern only when overlap between inputs of patterns to this column is greater or equal $minoverlap$. Overlap function is defined as follows (equation 64):

$$overlap(x, y) = x \times y \tag{64}$$

where: x and y are binary vectors.

In case of SP learning process of multiple patterns simultaneously there can exist some other situation which can speed up or slow down process of convergence. These all situation are mentioned below:

- detraction of 1 on single synapse when multiple patterns activate the same column with opposite input value on single synapse $(((r_ovlp_{i,s} < minoverlap)\&(X_{i,k} == 1))||(ovlpDC_{i,s} < minActDC_{i,s}))$ & $((r_ovlp_{i,s+1} < minoverlap)\&(X_{i,k} == 0))$
- P(detraction of 0)=(P(Act=1)*P(synapse=1) + P(boost))
- attraction of 1 on single synapse when multiple patterns activate the same column with the same input value on single synapse $(((r_ovlp_{i,s} < minoverlap)\&(X_{i,k} == 1))||(ovlpDC_{i,s} < minActDC_{i,s}))$ & $((r_ovlp_{i,s+1} > minoverlap)\&(X_{i,k} == 1))$
- attraction of 0 on single synapse when multiple patterns activate the same column with the same input value on single synapse $((r_ovlp_{i,s} < minoverlap)\&(X_{i,k} == 0))$ & $((r_ovlp_{i,s+1} > minoverlap)\&(X_{i,k} == 0))$

There are three possible situations during learning process (multiple pattern learning with constant inhibition radius):

- permanence boosting of inputs of columns activated by different patterns, harmful effect but if duty cycle big enough (almost bigger than number of patterns), inputs will be learned
- attraction (equations above) - speeding up learning
- detraction (equations above) - slowing down learning

In both presented situations (single and multi pattern learning) constant inhibition radius is used. According to the original Numenta algorithm the fluctuations of inhibition radius should decrease during learning process [8], but there is possibility that inhibition radius never converge to constant value. In our approach the constant inhibition radius allows to show convergence of learning process. This situation can be achieved by stopping the inhibition radius changing after some learning steps or to change algorithm by the one with radius convergence to stable value. It should be noticed that values

of inhibition radius and k should guarantee sparse output at the end of learning.

IV. Conclusions and future work

The presented HTM model is a new architecture in deep learning domain inspired by human brain. Initial results show [9] that it can be at least efficient like other machine and deep learning models. Additionally, our earlier research [13] showed that it can be significantly speed up by hardware accelerators. The presented formalism is one of the first article with full mathematical description of HTM Spatial Pooler. The formal description will help to parameterized the model. According to given encoder and its input distribution characteristic it is possible by formal model to estimate number of learning cycles, probability of patterns attraction, detraction etc. Further work the should concentrate on extending the formalism by accurate proofs of convergence of learning process. Then formal description of temporal pooler should be added.

References

[1] V. B, Mountcastle, “The columnar organization of the neocortex”, *Brain*, 1997, vol. 120, no.4, pp. 701–722.

[2] Y. Bengio, A. Courville, P. Vincent, “Representation Learning: A Review and New Perspectives”, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* , 2013, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1798–1828.

[3] Q. Liu, Z. Huang, F. Hu, “Accelerating convolution-based detection model on GPU”, Estimation, Detection and Information Fusion (ICEDIF), 2015 International Conference on, Harbin, 2015, pp. 61–66.

[4] K. Woodbeck, G. Roth and Huiqiong Chen, "Visual cortex on the GPU: Biologically inspired classifier and feature descriptor for rapid recognition," Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, 2008. CVPRW ’08. IEEE Computer Society Conference on, Anchorage, AK, 2008, pp. 1-8.

[5] D. Thomas and W. Luk, "FPGA Accelerated Simulation of Biologically Plausible Spiking Neural Networks," Field Programmable Custom Computing Machines, 2009. FCCM ’09. 17th IEEE Symposium on, Napa, CA, 2009, pp. 45-52.

[6] X. Chen, W. Wang and W. Li, “An overview of Hierarchical Temporal Memory: A new neocortex algorithm“, *Proceedings of International Conference on Modelling, Identification & Control (ICMIC)*, Wuhan, Hubei, China, 2012, pp. 1004-1010.

[7] Human Brain Project,https://www.humanbrainproject.eu/, access 10.04.2016.

[8] J. Mnatzaganian, E. FokouÃl’, D. Kudithipudi. A Mathematical Formalization of Hierarchical Temporal Memory’s Spatial Pooler, 2016; arXiv:1601.06116.

[9] Y. Cui, S. Ahmad, J. Hawkins. Continuous online sequence learning with an unsupervised neural network model, 2015; arXiv:1512.05463.

[10] S. Ahmad, J. Hawkins. How do neurons operate on sparse distributed representations? A mathematical theory of sparsity, neurons and active dendrites, 2016; arXiv:1601.00720.

[11] S. Ahmad, J. Hawkins. Properties of Sparse Distributed Representations and their Application to Hierarchical Temporal Memory, 2015; arXiv:1503.07469.

[12] S. Purdy. Encoding Data for HTM Systems, 2016; arXiv:1602.05925.

[13] M. Pietron, M. Wielgosz, K. Wiatr, Parallel Implementation of Spatial Pooler in Hierarchical Temporal Memory.In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence, pp. 346–353.